Representation of the use of marine space by commercial fisheries in Marine Spatial Planning

Andronikos Kafas², Gareth Jones¹, Robert Watret¹, Ian Davies¹, and Beth Scott²

 ¹ Marine Scotland Science, Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, UK, AB11 8DB,
² School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone Ave, Aberdeen, UK, AB24 2TZ Contact details: <u>Andronikos.Kafas@scotland.gsi.gov.uk</u>, Tel.: +44 (0) 1224 295347.

Successful marine spatial planning requires that the underlying data and process of marine activities can gain the confidence of a wide range of stakeholders. Fishers are major users of our seas, and therefore are of particular importance in marine planning consideration. Appropriate representation of fisheries in marine planning systems needs to take account of the spatial variability in landings and fishing practices. An understanding of the year to year stability of fisheries patterns can avoid over-reliance on data from short periods that may not accurately reflect longer term patterns.

Generally, EU Member states can obtain information relating to the spatial distribution of fishing activity by logbooks and Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data. These data are complementary and their coupling has already proven powerful. While logbooks provide useful information on several aspects of fishing, data are considered to be of low spatial resolution. The most reliable source of spatially explicit fishing vessel data is still the EC Vessel Monitoring System which provides information on the exact location and identification of all vessels $\geq 15m$ overall length.

In this study, anonymised VMS data for all the UK vessels for years 2007-2011 landing into UK ports were combined with landings information. Fishing activity was identified by applying a simple speed threshold (Lee *et al.* 2010). Weights and values have been allocated to VMS pings applying a sophisticated approach which uses ICES rectangles divisions and weights on points according to time (Holmes *et al.* 2011). A collection of weight and value rules separated the data into groups representing key sectors of the Scottish fishing fleet such as crab, lobster, squid, nephrops (mobile and static), demersal (mobile - see Fig. 1a; and static), scallop, pelagic, mackerel and herring fisheries.





Non-parametric density analysis was selected over the commonly used quadrate count to develop GIS layers describing annual distribution of fisheries. A Gaussian kernel density estimation with a datadriven bandwidth selection approach (smoothed crossvalidation) had been used resulting in high quality contour maps which form a better estimate of the spatial extent of fishing activity and the underlying structure. Several years' data have been amalgamated and effectively showed spatial patterns in highresolution for both intense and infrequently fished areas throughout that period. These layers have also been weighted by landings (tonnes) and value (cash value in GBP) to represent the most productive and economically important areas respectively (Fig. 1b).

In conclusion, a clear understanding and representation of the spatial distribution of marine fisheries in Scottish waters was achieved, removing many of the problems associated with the traditional gridded presentations. Spatial patterns coming from this study were validated to be consistent with the underlying biology of the species. Areas important to the fishing industry at a national level were identified to inform the Marine Spatial Planning process in Scotland.

References

- Lee, J., South, A.B., and Jennings, S., 2010. Developing reliable, repeatable, and accessible methods to provide high-resolution estimates of fishing-effort distributions from vessel monitoring system (VMS) data. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 67: 1260–1271.
- Holmes S.J., Bailey, N., Campbell, N., Catarino, R., Barratt, K., Gibb, A., and Fernandes P.G. 2011. Using fishery-dependent data to inform the development and operation of a co-management initiative to reduce cod mortality and cut discards. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 68 (8): 1679-1688.